UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA SAVANNAH DIVISION

MATTHEW JONES,)	
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	CV425-087
)	0 7 120 00 1
SAVANNAH POLICE DEPARTMENT,)	
,)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER AND REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Pro se plaintiff Matthew Jones has filed a complaint alleging that he was sexually abused in 2000. See doc. 1 at 3-4. As a result of the alleged serial abuse his "life was ended," and he "suffered gun shot wounds, stabbings, Police Brown race H diseases, bludgeonings with batons and clubs, fist punches and feet kicks, wrenching and twisting, grinding and squeezing, poisoning, anal rapes, burning, falling, and from the learned noises that they memorized to use as fatal weapons." Id. at 4, 6. As explained below, it is clear from both the fantastical allegations, as well as Jones' extensive litigation history, that his Complaint is frivolous. Since he appears to lack the funds to pay the Court's filing fee,

his request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **GRANTED**.¹ Doc. 2. However, his Complaint should be **DISMISSED** as frivolous. Doc. 1.

The Supreme Court has explained that "a complaint . . . is frivolous where it lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact." Neitzke v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 325 (1989). Although dismissal where a defendant has not filed an answer is not preferred, it is permissible where the complaint is "patently frivolous." Jefferson Fourteenth Assocs. v. Wometco de Puerto Rico, Inc., 695 F.2d 524, 526 (11th Cir. 1983); see also Davis v. Kvalheim, 261 F. App'x 231, 234 (11th Cir. 2008) ("We have recognized that district courts have the inherent power to sua sponte dismiss frivolous suits without giving notice to the parties."); Rosetta v. United States, 2017 WL 4415674, at *1-2 (S.D. Ga. Aug. 29, 2017), adopted 2017 WL 4391720 (S.D. Ga. Sept. 29, 2017) ("[T]he Court has an

¹ In addition to the allegations in the Complaint, the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* states: "The Wight Heights Police gang of play characters in Law Enforcement have stayed constantly since murdering my mother on TV in 1985 while she worked, as she was responding to civil and criminal filings for her protection, and our eventual birth, my sibling and I. The worldwide cameras depicted on the \$1 bill, all four major networks, and others continued filming constantly for 24 hours as I was continually killed for years." Doc. 2 at 5.

obligation to dismiss any complaint that is factually and legally frivolous.").

The Supreme Court has further explained that the statute authorizing proceedings in forma pauperis gives the Court "the unusual power to pierce the veil of the complaint's factual allegations and dismiss those claims whose factual contentions are clearly baseless." Neitzke, 490 U.S. at 327. "[A] finding of factual frivolousness is appropriate when the facts alleged rise to the level of the irrational or the wholly incredible, whether or not there are judicially noticeable facts available to contradict them." Denton v. Hernandez, 504 U.S. 25, 33 (1992). "Federal courts have both the inherent power and the constitutional obligation to protect their jurisdiction from conduct which impairs their ability to carry out Article III functions." Procup v. Strickland, 792 F.2d 1069, 1073-74 (11th Cir. 1986) (en banc). Jones' Complaint, while it does not include extensive fantastical allegations, is clearly frivolous.

The "facts" alleged in the Complaint state, unedited and in full:

While I was working as an U.S.A. Constituent, like Ben Franklin is on the \$100 bill, my life was ended by violent and perverted attacks many times. While travelling with my legal identity family of Wight Gang members I was raped by appointment by the Savannah Police overnight at the First Bryan Baptist Church in Savannah. I was raped anally and

orally. I was physically beaten and wrenched. The police were contagiously diseased with H_ diseases that are akin to the Police race only. It was a planned kidnapping, prostitution, and sexual slavery to end my life committed by all of the police race gang members involved. They were all living in Actor's roles who they murdered and stole the identity of. They were all aware of my position as USA Constituent when they attempted to end my life and infect me with incurable diseases that are derived from mice, poisons, sewers, graves, and funeral homes.

Doc. 1 at 4. He also alludes to "[m]edicine used to raise the dead[, which] is chemically made out of trees that are at least two hundred and fifty years old and the plants that only grow around them." *Id.* at 6.

Although the Complaint itself betrays that it is the product of a deeply troubled psyche, its character is confirmed by a review of Jones' filing history. The earliest case that the Court can identify filed by "Matthew N. Jones," with the same address as Plaintiff, dates to 2015. See Jones v. Delaware Technical & Community College, 1:15-cv-00198-RGA, doc. 2 (D. Del. Mar. 3, 2015). The allegations in that Complaint are disturbing, if not manifestly frivolous. Id. at 4-6. Given his subsequent filings, however, those early allegations are portentous. The Honorable Richard G. Andrews noted that a 2020 case Jones filed was "yet another lawsuit Plaintiff has filed following an involuntary hospitalization in November 2017." Jones v. Deputy Attorney General Valerie Farnan, 1:20-

cv-00818-RGA, doc. 5 at 2 (D. Del. Nov. 4, 2020). The District of Delaware's docket notes forty "related cases," all filed by Jones. *See generally* 1:20-cv-00818-RGA (D. Del.) docket. A search of the United States Courts' Public Access to Court Electronic Records system ("PACER") shows that Jones has filed thirty-two cases across multiple districts in 2023 alone.² The United States District Court for the District

_

² See Jones v. Vermont State Police, 2:23-cv-00018-wks (D. Vt. Jan. 23, 2023); Jones v. Town of Bennington Police Department, 2:23-cv-00019-wks (D. Vt. Jan. 23, 2023); Jones v. Lamoille Cnty. Sheriff's Dept., 2:23-cv-00020-wks(D. Vt. Jan. 26, 2023); Jones v. Vermont State Police, 2:23-cv-00021-wks (D. Vt. Jan. 26, 2023); Jones v. Shah, 1:23cv-00925-RGA (D. Del. Aug. 23, 2023); Jones v. Chidiak, 1:23-cv-00998-RGA (D. Del. Sept. 8, 2023); Jones v. Avalon Police Department, 1:23-cv-20981-KMW-SAK (D.N.J. Oct. 6, 2023); Jones v. Trenton New Jersey Police Department, 3:23-cv-21173-MAS-JBD (D.N.J. Oct. 13, 2023); Jones v. Ridgefield New Jersey Local Police Department, 3:23-cv-21260-MAS-JBD (D.N.J. Oct. 17, 2023); Jones v. Philadelphia Police Department, 2:23-cv-04186-MAK (E.D. Pa. Oct. 25, 2023); Jones v. Greene County Sheriff's Department, 1:23-cv-01305-GTS-DJS (N.D.N.Y. Oct. 25, 2023); Jones v. N.Y.P.D., 1:23-cv-09515-UA (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 25, 2023); Jones v. F M Dill Farms, 1:23cv-02928-GLR, doc. 1 at 1-2 (D. Md. Oct. 26, 2023) ("Complaint- The Police coloured Brown Black Americans who have filled every second of every minute of every hour of every day of every week of every month of every year of every decade of my life with murderous threats, disease contagion, and ilsoation from all but their race begin their travels here in groups of eighty each from Dill Farms in Maryland every day."): Jones v. N.Y.P.D., 1:23-cv-08101-PKC-CLP (E.D.N.Y. Oct. 26, 2023); Jones v. RI State Police, 1:23-cv-00446-MSM-PAS (D.R.I. Oct. 27, 2023); Jones v. New Haven CT, P.D., 3:23-cv-01415-VDO (D. Conn. Oct. 27, 2023); Jones v. PA State Police Dept. Troop B. 2:23-cv-01868-CB-CRE (W.D. Pa. Oct. 30, 2023); Jones v. Orange Texas Police Department, 6:23-cv-00605-JCB-KNM (E.D. Tex. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. Mississippi Highway Patrol, 3:23-cv-03122-DPJ-FKB (S.D. Miss. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. Tampa Bay Police, 2:23-cv-01127-JLB-KCD (M.D. Fla. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. Miami Police, 1:23-cv-24583-BB (S.D. Fla. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. State Police Department, 1:23-cv-03310-MJM (D. Md. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. Delaware State Police, 1:23-cv-01379-RGA (D. Del. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. Arkansas State Police, 4:23-cv-01144-JM (E.D. Ark. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. State Police Department, 2:23-cv-00017-TSK-MJA (N.D. W. Va. Dec. 4, 2023); Jones v. South Carolina State Police, 4:23-cv-06265-RBH-KDW (D.S.C.

of New Hampshire summarized the factual allegations in one of those Complaints as follows:

The four-page, single-spaced factual narrative in Mr. Jones's complaint is disjointed and, at times, incoherent. He alleges that he has been 'murdered' multiple times beginning in 1986, having been removed from his mother's body after her He also alleges that he has been forced into prostitution since he was two years old and forced to drink motor oil and bleach. During an unspecified time period, Mr. Jones alleges that he was in the care of crack addicts who brought him to New Hampshire, where he was raped and assaulted by 'state and local police' in Loudon, New Hampshire, as well as Massachusetts and Connecticut.

Jones v. New Hampshire State Police, Troop E, 1:23-cv-00053-LM, doc. 4 at 2 (D.N.H. April 10, 2023). Moreover, this Court previously dismissed a Complaint, which appears to allege a more lurid version of the same facts, as frivolous. See Jones v. Georgia State Police, CV423-345, doc. 7 (Jan. 3, 2024). It is clear that his filing pattern has not abated. See, e.g., Jones v. Florida State Police, 2024 WL 1861038 (N.D. Fla. Apr. 1, 2024) (recommending dismissal of Jones' Complaint as frivolous), adopted 2024

Dec. 5, 2023); Jones v. Florida State Police, 4:23-cv-00519-RH-MJF (N.D. Fla. Dec. 5, 2023); Jones v. North Carolina State Police, 7:23-cv-01659-BO-RJ (E.D.N.C. Dec. 5, 2023); Jones v. Ohio State Patrol, 1:23-cv-00794-MWM-KLL (S.D. Ohio Dec. 5, 2023); Jones v. Maryland State Police, 1:23-cv-03311-JRR (D. Md. Dec. 5, 2023); Jones v. Andalusia Police, 1:23-cv-00459-KD-B (S.D. Ala. Dec. 5, 2023); Jones v. Perryville Police, 5:23-cv-00332-KKC (E.D. Ky. Dec. 5, 2023).

WL 1861015 (N.D. Fla. Apr. 29, 2024). The Court's limited review of Jones' filings removes any doubt that he is a mentally-ill serial litigant.

Accordingly, Jones' Complaint should be **DISMISSED** as frivolous. Doc. 1. Moreover, this Court, like the District of Vermont "notes that, in light of his history of multiplications litigation, Mr. Jones [is] warned that future frivolous filings in this court may result in a filing injunction." 2:23-cv-00018-wks, doc. 8 at 3 (D. Vt. May 8, 2023). While this is Jones' second frivolous filing in this Court, the undersigned is not yet convinced that an injunction should issue. However, if his filing persists, an injunction may yet become necessary.

This R&R is submitted to the district judge assigned to this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and this Court's Local Rule 72.3. Within 14 days of service, any party may file written objections to the R&R with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations."

After the objections period has ended, the Clerk shall submit this R&R together with any objections to the assigned district judge. The district judge will review the magistrate judge's findings and

recommendations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The parties are advised that failure to timely file objections will result in the waiver of rights on appeal. 11th Cir. R. 3-1; see Symonette v. V.A. Leasing Corp., 648 F. App'x 787, 790 (11th Cir. 2016); Mitchell v. United States, 612 F. App'x 542, 545 (11th Cir. 2015).

SO ORDERED AND REPORTED AND RECOMMENDATION, this 16th day of April, 2025.

CHRISTOPHER L. RAY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA